

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,330	10/28/2003	Christopher Oriakhi	200309810	8084
22879 7590 05/14/2007 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			EXAMINER	
			TENTONI, LEO B	
	FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	
				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/696,330	ORIAKHI ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Leo B. Tentoni	1732				
appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a	reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
•	tters, prosecution as to the merits is				
er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	O. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
on					
Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
identification of the control of the					
or election requirement.					
inas					
	by the Evaminer				
	•				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
,	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
·	0.440(.) (.) == (0.				
gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (t).				
ante have been received					
	Application No.				
	· · ·				
•	119001100 111 1110 1121121121 21232				
ist of the certified copies not	t received.				
·					
	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
_	Informal Patent Application				
	Examiner Leo B. Tentoni Appears on the cover sheet was present of the cover sheet of t				

Application/Control Number: 10/696,330

Art Unit: 1732

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-18, drawn to a solid freeform fabrication process, classified in class 264, subclass 113.
- II. Claims 19-44, drawn to a solid freeform fabrication system (or composition), classified in class 106, subclass 690.
- III. Claims 45-51, drawn to a three-dimensional product, classified in class 428, subclass 688.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP \$ 806.05(h). In the instant case, the process as claimed can be practiced with another and materially different product such as a composition including polymeric particulates (instead of calcium phosphate particulates). The product as claimed can be

Art Unit: 1732

used in another and materially different process such as a selective laser sintering process.

- 3. Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as a selective laser sintering process.
- 4. Inventions II and III are directed to related products. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function or effect because the invention of Group II can be used as, for example, a coating material for a substrate, whereas the invention of Group III is a multiple-layered, three-dimensional product. Furthermore, the

Art Unit: 1732

inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

Art Unit: 1732

inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leo B. Tentoni whose telephone number is (571) 272-1209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30 A.M. - 3:00 P.M.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina A. Johnson can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,330 Page 6

Art Unit: 1732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leo B. Tentoni
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1732

lbt